

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS, AND TRADEMARKS

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2002

| | | | www.uspto.gov | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/021,257 | 12/19/2001 | Akira Chinda | 035532-0118 | 7027 |
| 22428 7 | 590 09/06/2002 | | | |
| FOLEY AND LARDNER SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | CHAMBLISS, ALONZO | |
| WASHINGIO | N, DC 20007 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2827 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | - Un | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------|--|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| • | | 10/021,257 | CHINDA ET AL. | | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | 71. 11.11.11.0 5.475 | Alonzo Chambliss | 2827 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 GFR 1,135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Any reply received by the COLO after than provided or reply with by statute, cause the application to become ARAMONDED (SC 6, \$133). Any reply received by the COLO after than provided after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any anned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| | 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 [| December 2001 . | | | | | | |
| 2 | 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th | is action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| | 4) Claim(s) 1-49 is/are pending in the application | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | vn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| • | 8) Claim(s) 1-49 are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Ap | plication Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 12/19/01 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| | ority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| | 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| | a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | (1)(1) | | | | | |
| - | 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received in A | Application No | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | - | | | | | |
| 4 | * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | • | | notion) | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | a) ☐ The translation of the foleigh language pro 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti | | | | | | | |
| Atta | achment(s) | | · | | | | | |
| 2) [| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | · | | | | |

A STREET OF THE LEGISLA STREET, SECRETARY OF SHARE SHARE SECTION OF STREET, SAME ST

Application/Control Number: 10/021,257

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-36, drawn to product, classified in class 257, subclass 7747.
 - II. Claims 37-49, drawn to process, classified in class 438, subclass 629.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as process of instead of forming a conductive member in accordance with a plating method, a deposition method is used to form the conductive member and to filled the opening in the insulating substrate.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Glenn Law on 8/30/02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Art Unit: 2827

Page 3

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning the communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alonzo Chambliss whose telephone number is (703) 306-9143. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7722 or 7724. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-7956.

AC/September 4, 2002

Alonzo Chambliss Examiner

Art Unit 2827